# **Evidence-Based Practice Brief: Speech Generating Devices**

This evidence-based practice brief on speech generating devices includes the following components:

- 1. Overview, which gives a quick summary of salient features of the practice, including what it is, who it can be used with, what skills it has been used with, settings for instruction, and additional literature documenting its use in practice
- 2. Steps for Implementation, detailing how to implement the practice in a practitioner-friendly, step-by-step process
- 3. Implementation Checklist, to be used to monitor fidelity of the use of the practice
- 4. Evidence Base Summary, which details the NPDC-ASD criteria for inclusion as an evidence-based practice and the specific studies that meet the criteria for this practice

# **Overview of Speech Generating Devices**

Franzone, E., & Collet-Klingenberg, L. (2008). Overview of speech generating devices for children and youth with autism spectrum disorders. Madison, WI: The National Professional Development Center on Autism Spectrum Disorders, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin.

Speech generating devices (SGD) are electronic devices that are portable in nature and can produce either synthetic or digital speech for the user. SGD may be used with graphic symbols, as well as with alphabet keys.

### **Evidence**

SGD meets the evidence-based practice criteria with a total of five single-subject studies.

## With what ages is SGD effective?

SGD can be used effectively with children and youth with ASD who have limited or no verbal speech from early childhood through high school. The evidence base indicates that SGD are effective with learners ranging from 3 to 20 years of age.

# What skills or intervention goals can be addressed by SGD?

SGD target skills that help children and youth with ASD effectively communicate with others in a variety of situations and settings. The evidence base suggests that within the communication domain, a variety of skills can be targeted for intervention, including initiation, expressive language (verbal), joint attention/gestures (non-verbal), and pragmatics (conversation skills). The research also demonstrates that reading and math skills can be addressed using SGD.

### In what settings can SGD be effectively used?

The evidence-based research studies were conducted in clinical or school settings. Although there is little evidence for this practice being implemented at home, application of SGD in this setting seems logical.

### **Evidence Base**

The studies cited in this section document that this practice meets the NPDC on ASD's criteria for an evidence-based practice. This list is not exhaustive; other quality studies may exist that were not included.

## Early Childhood

- Olive, M. L., de la Cruz, B., Davis., T. N., Chan, J. M., Lang, R. B., O'Reilly M. F., & Dickson, S. M. (2007). The effects of enhanced milieu teaching and a voice output communication aid on the requesting of three children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *37*, 1505-1513.
- Olive, M., Lang, R., & Davis, T. (2008). An analysis of the effects of functional communication and a voice output communication aid for a child with autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, *2*(2), 223-236.
- Schepis, M. M., Reid, D. H., Behrmann, M. M., & Sutton, K. A. (1998). Increasing communicative interactions of young children with autism using a voice output communication aid and naturalistic teaching. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 31(4), 561-578.

### Elementary

- Parsons, C., & La Sorte, D. (1993). The effects of computers with synthesized speech and no speech on the spontaneous communication of children with autism. *Australian Journal of Human Communication Disorders*, 21, 12-31.
- Van Acker, R., & Grant, S. (1995). An effective computer-based requesting system for persons with Rett syndrome. *Journal of Childhood Communication Disorders*, *16*, 31-38.

### **Selected Additional References**

- Sigafoos, J., O'Reilly, M. F., Seely-York, S., Weru, J., Son, S. H., Green, V. A., et al. (2004). Transferring AAC intervention to the home. *Disability & Rehabilitation*, *26*(21/22), 1330-1334.
- Light, J. C., Roberts, D. B., Dimarco, R., & Greiner, N. (1998). Augmentative and alternative communication to support receptive and expressive communication for people with autism. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, *31*, 153-178.
- Mirenda, P., Wilk, D., & Carson, P. (2000). A Retrospective analysis of technology use patterns of students with autism over a five-year period. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, *15*, 5-16.
- Romski, M. A., Sevcik, R. A., Adamson, L. B., Cheslock, M., Smith, A., Barker, R., et al., (in press). Randomized comparison of augmented and non-augmented language interventions for toddlers with developmental delays and their parents. Journal of Speech Language Hearing Research.

- Romski, M. A., Sevcik, R. A., Cheslock, M., Smith, A., Barker, R., Folan, S. (2009). The system for augmenting language: Implications for children with autism spectrum disorder. In P. Mirenda, T. Iacono, & J. Light. (Eds.). AAC and Autism (pp.219-245). Baltimore, MD: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.
- Schlosser, R. W., & Blischak, D. M. (2001). Is there a role for speech output in interventions for persons with autism? A review. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, *16*(3), 170-178.
- Sigafoos, J., & Drasgow, E. (2001). Conditional use of aided and unaided AC: A review and clinical case demonstration. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, *16*(3), 152-162.
- Sigafoos, J., O'Reilly, M. F., Seely-York, S., Weru, J., Son, S. H., Green, V. A., & Lancioni, G. E. (2004). Transferring AAC intervention to the home. *Disability & Rehabilitation*, 26(21/22), 1330-1334.

# **Evidence Base for Speech Generating Devices**

The National Professional Development Center on ASD has adopted the following definition of evidence-based practices.

To be considered an evidence-based practice for individuals with ASD, efficacy must be established through peer-reviewed research in scientific journals using:

- randomized or quasi-experimental design studies. Two high quality experimental or quasi-experimental group design studies,
- single-subject design studies. Three different investigators or research groups must have conducted five high quality single subject design studies, or
- combination of evidence. One high quality randomized or quasi-experimental group design study and three high quality single subject design studies conducted by at least three different investigators or research groups (across the group and single subject design studies).

High quality randomized or quasi experimental design studies do not have critical design flaws that create confounds to the studies, and design features allow readers/consumers to rule out competing hypotheses for study findings. High quality in single subject design studies is reflected by a) the absence of critical design flaws that create confounds and b) the demonstration of experimental control at least three times in each study.

This definition and criteria are based on the following sources:

- Horner, R., Carr, E., Halle, J., McGee, G., Odom, S., & Wolery, M. (2005). The use of single subject research to identify evidence-based practice in special education. *Exceptional Children*, 71, 165-180.
- Nathan, P., & Gorman, J. M. (2002). A guide to treatments that work. NY: Oxford University Press.
- Odom, S. L., Brantlinger, E., Gersten, R., Horner, R. D., Thompson, B., & Harris, K. (2004). Quality indicators for research in special education and guidelines for evidence-based practices: Executive summary. Arlington, VA: Council for Exceptional Children Division for Research.
- Rogers, S. J., & Vismara, L. A. (2008). Evidence based comprehensive treatments for early autism. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, *37*(1), 8-38.

Using these criteria, the empirical studies referenced below provided documentation for supporting the use of SGD or Voice Output Communication Aids as an evidence-based practice. This list is not exhaustive; other quality studies may exist that were not included.

### Early Childhood

- Olive, M. L., de la Cruz, B., Davis., T. N., Chan, J. M., Lang, R. B., O'Reilly M. F., & Dickson, S. M. (2007). The effects of enhanced milieu teaching and a voice output communication aid on the requesting of three children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *37*, 1505-1513.
- Olive, M., Lang, R., & Davis, T. (2008). An analysis of the effects of functional communication and a voice output communication aid for a child with autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, *2*(2), 223-236.
- Schepis, M. M., Reid, D. H., Behrmann, M. M., & Sutton, K. A. (1998). Increasing communicative interactions of young children with autism using a voice output communication aid and naturalistic teaching. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 31(4), 561-578.

### Elementary

- Parsons, C., & La Sorte, D. (1993). The effects of computers with synthesized speech and no speech on the spontaneous communication of children with autism. *Australian Journal of Human Communication Disorders*, *21*, 12-31.
- Van Acker, R., & Grant, S. (1995). An effective computer-based requesting system for persons with Rett syndrome. *Journal of Childhood Communication Disorders*, *16*, 31-38.

# **Steps for Implementation: Speech Generating Devices (SGD)**

Fransone, E., & Collet-Klingenberg, L. (2008). *Overview of speech generating devices for children and youth with autism spectrum disorders.* Madison, WI: The National Professional Development Center on Autism Spectrum Disorders, Waisman Center, The University of Wisconsin.

Implementing an SGD is not a step-by-step, sequential process. Unlike more behavioral intervention methods, there are no real rules as to "If X happens, do Y." Nevertheless, the following guidelines provide professionals with a plan to introduce and implement the use of an SGD. To be successful, this process also will require the use of professional wisdom and skills.

For learners with ASD, it is important to have the team, including family members, to identify priority goals based on the IEP or IFSP. While it may not be reasonable to assume that a learner will quickly learn to create novel sentences for numerous communicative functions, as the top priority for the SGD, the team may consider the ability to spontaneously request desired items meaningful. The ability to express a single novel thought may also be considered an important goal.

## Step 1. Identifying and Setting Up the Device

In Step 1, teachers/practitioners focus on identifying an appropriate SGD device for the learner with ASD by taking into account a number of factors including learner needs and characteristics, and available training and technical assistance.

1. Teachers/practitioners select an appropriate device, taking into account how the information is displayed, the learner's present and potential abilities (e.g., attention span, experience with symbols, ability to establish joint attention), portability of the device, available training and technical assistance, and funding sources.

Teachers/practitioners also choose a number of symbols in the visual field that the learner will be able to discriminate easily by considering the learner's attention span, experience with symbols, and ability to establish joint attention (Ogletree & Harn, 2001).

2. Teachers/practitioners introduce the device to the learner by having a device with few symbols and/or buttons with nothing on them.

To begin, teachers/practitioners introduce a single symbol and have buttons with nothing on them to introduce the idea that the symbol, not the button, is the important factor.

3. Teachers/practitioners include desirable and undesirable symbols to facilitate the learner's ability to discriminate.

By pairing a desired symbol with an undesirable symbol, or somewhat aversive object symbol, the learner may more efficiently attend to the symbol and discriminate between symbol choices.

## Step 2. Introducing Direct Support Persons to the Device

In Step 2, teachers/practitioners identify direct support persons and train them how to use the identified SGD.

1. Team members are identified and trained in how to program and use the device.

Persons who will be using and/or supporting the device participate in training offered by the manufacturer.

2. One or two key members of the team are identified as primary contacts regarding its use.

One team member may be designated as the primary contact with the manufacturer, and as the go-to person when the device is not working properly.

# Step 3. Identifying Environments Where the Device Will Initially Be Used and With Which Communication Partners

1. The device is introduced during familiar routines which allow for frequent communicative attempts (e.g., circle time, English class, snack, lunch, free play).

Initial environments should involve routines that provide opportunities for frequent communicative attempts and that are generally positive for the learner. Snack and free choice time provide opportunities for practicing requests with a SGD. Show and tell, circle time, and functional jobs (e.g., mail delivery) provide opportunities to practice social greetings or comments.

### Step 4. Identify Vocabulary Appropriate to the Learner and the Environments

In Step 4, teachers/practitioners focus on identifying age- and developmentally-appropriate vocabulary that can be used in the identified environments.

- 1. Words and phrases are age-appropriate.
- 2. Words and phrases are meaningful and motivating for the learner.
- 3. Words and phrases serve an identifiable communicative function (e.g., greeting, requesting).

Identified words should be age-appropriate, meaningful to learners and their communicative partners, and should serve an identified communicative function (e.g., requesting, greeting,

protesting). Vocabulary should be motivating to learners and applicable to their daily lives (e.g., vocabulary related to daily routines, curriculum, and/or activities outside of school). The entire team, including parents, should be involved in the selection of vocabulary. For example, a classroom teacher might suggest that color and animal words would be appropriate for an Eric Carle author unit, or a parent might request words specific to a worship service in which the family participates (e.g. greeting others in the pew). Teachers/practitioners should refer to their speech-language pathologists and/or assistive technology specialists for more information on how to choose vocabulary specifically for certain learners and/or specific devices.

### Step 5. Allowing the Learner to Explore the Device

In Step 5, teachers/practitioners provide opportunities and sufficient time for learners to explore and become familiar with the device.

1. Teachers/practitioners give the learner an opportunity to independently explore the device.

## Step 6. Setting Up Communicative Opportunities

While SGD use should take place in natural environments, some level of individualized instruction is required to introduce the device. For requesting, options depend on the skills of the learner. Some learners may need the actual objects displayed (e.g., crackers, apple slices, juice on a tray out of the student's reach), while others may already be aware of options by virtue of the location or the time of day.

 Teachers/practitioners thoughtfully arrange opportunities within naturally occurring environments that provide cues and motivation necessary for the learner's success (e.g., takes into account materials needed and needs of individual learners, places out of reach).

It can be helpful to think of this step as a form of environmental "sabotage;" that is, interfering with a desired outcome so that the learner is motivated to communicate. For example, desired snacks may be placed on a high shelf, or the computer mouse may be missing when the learner tries to play a video game. Teachers/practitioners must challenge learners to communicate, while not frustrating them to the point that they shut down.

2. Teachers/practitioners use frequent questioning to facilitate communicative exchanges during routines and activities.

Frequent questioning also can be used to encourage communication if symbols are available for the learner to respond to the questions. The following example illustrates how numerous communicative opportunities can be provided with a single activity.

Teacher: "What do you want to do?

Learner (via SGD): "Ball."

Speech Generating Devices: Steps for Implementation National Professional Development Center on ASD 10/2010

Teacher: "What do you want to do on the ball?"

Learner: "Bounce."

Teacher: "How many times do you want to bounce?"

Learner: "Five."

3. Teachers/practitioners instruct peers in how to respond to learners' SGD use (e.g., responding to learner rather than teacher).

For social interactions, classmates need to be introduced to the device before it is used. Classmates and staff also need instruction regarding how to respond to the learner's SGD (e.g., respond to the learner rather than to the teacher or assistant).

### Step 7. Providing as Few Prompts as the Learner Requires

1. Teachers/practitioners do not begin the communicative exchange by using prompts that are not needed by the learner (e.g., hand-over-hand assistance) when a more subtle prompt would suffice.

Providing prompts from least to most restrictive prevents the teacher from providing more support than the learner needs. As examples, some learners may need the teacher to point directly at the appropriate icon, while others may need the teacher to point to the device, while other learners just need to be asked, "What do you want?" After a few trials, teachers/practitioners should try using less restrictive prompts.

2. Teachers/practitioners allow pause time (i.e., approximately 4-5 seconds) before using a prompt, depending on the needs of the learner.

Some learners with ASD, while not yet able to initiate SGD use, eventually respond to cues as subtle as a communicative partner glancing at the device. Plenty of pause time (e.g., 5-10 seconds) should be provided, allowing the learner time to scan the pictures and choose the one that is appropriate.

Teachers/practitioners should be mindful that for some learners, it may be best to avoid any physical touch. Cues such as hovering a hand over the desired symbol and/or shining a flashlight on the desired symbol may prevent a "Here's my hand, tell me what I want" dilemma.

Please refer to *Least-to-Most Prompting: Steps for Implementation* (National Professional Development Center on ASD, 2008) for more information about prompting.

### Step 8. Honoring the Communication

1. Teachers/practitioners immediately grant the learner's request upon the communication even if it is not a desired item, object, or action.

After the learner has communicated a request, teachers/practitioners immediately grant the request even if it is not a desired item, object, or action.

Initially when learners make a request, the request should always be granted. For some learners, it may be necessary to remove or hide pictures of activities or objects that are inappropriate at certain times. If the child initiates a different kind of communicative function, the teacher/practitioner should respond to the child's communication as if it were intentional and purposeful even if it is suspected that the communication was not intentional

2. Teachers/practitioners simply explain when a choice is no longer an option.

As learners become more familiar with the SGD, it may be appropriate, even necessary, to say, "We're all done with \_\_\_\_\_. Make another choice." At the same time, remember that the overall goal is communication.

3. Teachers/practitioners give learners choices during routines/activities and follow their lead when appropriate communication is used (e.g., staying on playground to swing, playing on computer during reading time).

It may be more important to have students independently make choices and control their environments than participate in their regular routines. For example, if a learner requests, "More swing" when the rest of the class is heading inside, you may want to consider letting her stay on the playground for a few more minutes.

If learners become frustrated because their choices do not match the intended request, the practitioners should use their best judgment to determine the appropriate course of action. Frequently, this is a prime opportunity for learning. By simply giving the learner the requested item (even if this request did not match the learner's intention), learners may discover quickly that certain icons can be used to obtain highly desired objects or activities. At other times, learners may become so frustrated that they cannot learn. When this happens, it may be best to use cues or modeling to correct the error to help them secure the desired item. These introductory lessons help develop meaningful choice-making, rather than simply pushing icons because the student understands that this is the expectation.

### Step 9. Reducing Prompts As Soon As Possible

Spontaneous use of the SGD can be particularly challenging for learners with ASD because they may become dependent on the prompts, or **cues**, teachers and other practitioners use to facilitate their use of the device. The most natural prompts are verbalizations directed at the learner, such as, "What do you want?" or "What did you bring to show us today?"

1. After a few successful trials, teachers/practitioners use a less-restrictive prompt and provide pause time (i.e., 4-5 seconds) in which the learner may activate the SGD.

Allowing sufficient pause time for learners to respond is extremely important. Learners must be given opportunities to activate the SGD independently.

2. Teachers/practitioners are aware of learners' attention, frustration, and motivation and adjust demands during routines/activities accordingly (e.g., more/less intensive prompts, more/less pause time).

Professionals should use their best judgment to determine the length of the pause by considering learners' attention spans, motor planning abilities, and temperaments (Light, Roberts, Dimarco, & Greiner, 1998). Although it can be difficult, quietly waiting is an enormously valuable teaching tool. If after waiting an appropriate amount of time, learners do not initiate use of the SGD, professionals may provide a high-level prompt (i.e., less restrictive), such as asking a question or gesturing toward the options (e.g., pointing at the snacks available). Again, teachers/practitioners should wait to see if learners activate the SGD independently. If not, a more direct prompt (i.e., more restrictive) should be provided, such as pointing to the device or giving a verbal direction (e.g., "Use your Vantage™ and tell me what you want"). After the second prompt, teachers/practitioners should wait again. If learners still do not activate the SGD, teachers/practitioners should be even more direct by providing a more restrictive prompt (e.g., pointing to the desired symbol).

**Modeling** is another way to encourage SGD use. Learners may be grouped with peers who have been taught to use the SGD or peers who are more successful with their own SGD. For example, learners may all take turns requesting snack. If learners with ASD observe peers using the SGD independently, they may be more apt to do so.

Please refer to Least-to-Most Prompting: Steps for Implementation (National Professional Development Center on ASD, 2008) for more information about prompting. Step 10. Increasing the Environments Where the SGD is Used

1. Teachers/practitioners provide opportunities for the learner to use the SGD in environments around the school, at home, and/or in the community.

As the learner becomes more comfortable with a SGD, encourage its use in other activities and settings to promote generalization. Environments may include different classrooms, work places, home, or community settings (e.g., restaurants, stores, bowling alleys, movie theaters).

Teachers/practitioners encourage the use of the SGD with multiple communicative partners.

Learners also should be encouraged to use their SGD with multiple conversational partners. In some instances, learners may become too comfortable using their SGD with a single communicative partner (e.g., assistant, speech-language pathologist) and may have difficulty generalizing SGD use to different people.

### Step 11. Increasing Vocabulary

- 1. Teachers/practitioners increase the number of symbols in a single field as the learner becomes more proficient with the device.
- 2. Teachers/practitioners increase the number of overlays as the learner becomes more proficient with the device.

Depending on the device, this may be accomplished by adding novel overlays and/or adding vocabulary to an existing overlay (i.e., increasing the field). Another strategy for increasing expressive vocabulary is to encourage the use of modifiers by offering items that differ by only one attribute (e.g., "Do you want the big cookie or the little cookie?" "Do you want the red Lego or the blue Lego?"). The ability to use descriptive words allows learners to create utterances that are novel, flexible, and more specific.

Increasing vocabulary may require that a new device is obtained and taught to the learner. For example, if he/she has demonstrated the ability to use the maximum number of icons on a static device, acquiring a more dynamic device may be appropriate.

3. Teachers/practitioners get a new device as the learner's need requires.

After learners are able to use their device efficiently, teachers/practitioners should consider upgrading to a more complex device. For example, if learners are using all four overlays on a GoTalk4, and their team believes they could use more pictures, new devices (perhaps one with a dynamic screen) should be considered. Some of the more complex devices have considerable capacity. Therefore, the team must constantly update vocabulary and make certain that the learner understands how to access it.

If learners can successfully push single buttons to activate a phrase, sentence building options
should be considered. With this skill, learners push separate buttons or icons for "I," "want," and
"juice." To teach these skills, teachers/practitioners may need to initially use cues such as
hovering over an icon or pointing. To start, teachers/practitioners may need to provide learners
with a few basic sentences that require only two buttons: "I want" /, "More" /, "I see",
Different devices (e.g. one with more icons) may be required to teach this skill.

Learners' verbalizations may increase as they use SGD (Schepis, Reid, Behrmann, & Sutton, 1998). As learners begin to use intelligible speech functionally, SGD may be phased out. However, learners' and families' preferences must be considered when making decisions about discontinuing devices.

# Implementation Checklist for SGD

Franzone, E., & Collet-Klingenberg, L. (2008). Overview of speech generating devices for children and youth with autism spectrum disorders. Madison, WI: The National Professional Development Center on Autism Spectrum Disorders, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin.

Instructions: The Implementation Checklist includes each step in the process of implementing use of an SGD. Please complete all of the requested information including the site and state, individual being observed, and the learner's initials. To assure that a practice is being implemented as intended, an observation is always preferable. This may not always be possible. Thus, items may be scored based on observations with the implementer, discussions and/or record review as appropriate. Within the table, record a 2 (implemented), 1 (partially implemented), 0 (did not implement), or NA (not applicable) next to each step observed to indicate to what extent the step was implemented/addressed during your observation. Use the last page of the checklist to record the target skill, your comments, whether others were present, and plans for next steps for each observation.

we	re present, and plans for next steps for each observat	ion.										
Site	e:	State:										
Ind	lividual(s) Observed:											
<u>S</u>	xills below can be implemented by a pract	titioner, parent, or other team meml										
	Observation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
	Date											
	Observer's Initials											
	Planning (Step	os 1 –	5)									
St	ep 1. Identifying and Setting Up the											
	Device				Sco	re**						
1.	Select an appropriate device, taking into account how the information is displayed, the learner's present and potential abilities (e.g., attention span, experience with symbols, ability to establish joint attention), portability of the device, available training and technical assistance, and funding sources.											
2.	Introduce the device to the learner by having a device with few symbols and/or buttons with nothing on them.											
3.	Include desirable and undesirable symbols to facilitate the learner's ability to discriminate.											
St	ep 2. Introducing Direct Support Persons to the Device											
1.	Team members are identified and trained in how to program and use the device.											
2.	One or two key members of the team are											

identified as primary contacts regarding its use.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Scoring Key: 2 = implemented; 1 = partially implemented; 0 = did not implement; NA = not applicable

		Observation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Date								
		Observer's Initials								
Sto	ep 3. Identifying Enviro									
	the Device Will Be Used and With					_				
	Which Communic			1	1	Sco	re**	ı	1	
1.	The device is introduced of	•								
	routines which allow for fro	•								
	communicative attempts (									
	English class, snack, lunc	n, tree play).								
Sto	ep 4. Identifying Vocab to the Learner an Environments									
1.	Words and phrases are ag	ge-appropriate.								
2.	Words and phrases are m	eaningful and								
	motivating for the learner.	C								
3.	Words and phrases serve									
	communicative function (e	e.g., greeting,								
	requesting).									
Sto	ep 5. Allowing the Lear the Device	ner to Explore								
1.	Give the learner an oppor- independently explore the									
Intervention (St				- 9)						
Step 6. Setting up Communicative Opportunities										
1.	Arrange opportunities with									
	environments that provide									
	motivation necessary for t									
	(e.g., takes into account m									
	needs of learners, places	items out of reach).								
2.	Instruct peers in how to re	spond to learners'								
	SGD use (e.g., responding									
	than teacher).	, 10 10 am 10 1 am 10 1								
3.	Use frequent questioning	to facilitate								
communicative exchanges during routines and										
	activities.	•								
** 0	coring Kev: 2 = implemented	2d · 0 -	- did n	ot imr	Jomor	ıt. NIA	- not	annlia	ahla	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Scoring Key: 2 = implemented; 1 = partially implemented; 0 = did not implement; NA = not applicable

		Observation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Date								
01		Observer's Initials								
St	ep 7. Providing as Few Pr					dud				
	Learner Requires			1	ı	Sco	re**	ı	ı	
1.	Allow pause time (i.e., 4-5 sec using a prompt, depending or learner.									
2.	Do not begin the communicat using prompts that are not ne learner (e.g., hand-over-hand when a more subtle prompt w									
St	ep 8. Honoring the Comm	unication		,		,				
	Immediately grant the learner the communication even if it is item, object, or action.	's requests upon								
2.	2. Explain when a choice is no longer an option.									
3.	Give learners choices during activities and follow their lead appropriate communication is staying on playground to swin computer during reading time									
St	ep 9. Reducing Prompts <i>A</i> Possible	s Soon As								
1.	After a few successful trials, unrestrictive prompt and provide 4-5 seconds) in which the leathe SGD.	pause time (i.e.,								
2.	Be aware of learners' attention motivation and adjust demand and activities accordingly (e.g. intensive prompts, more/less	ds during routines ., more/less								
				·					·	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Scoring Key: 2 = implemented; 1 = partially implemented; 0 = did not implement; NA = not applicable

		Observation Date	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Observer's Initials								
	Progr	ess Monitoring	(Step	os 10	-11)					
St	ep 10. Increasing the Envir Where the SGD is U				Sco	re**				
1.	Provide opportunities for the le SGD in environments around home, and/or community.									
2.	Encourage the use of the SGI communicative partners.									
St	ep 11. Increasing Vocabula	ary								
Increase the number of symbols in a single field as the learner becomes more proficient with the device.										
2.	Increase the number of overla becomes more proficient with									
3.	Introduce a new device as the require.	e learner's needs								

<sup>\*\*</sup>Scoring Key: 2 = implemented; 1 = partially implemented; 0 = did not implement; NA = not applicable

Date	Observer Initials	Target Skill/Behavior, Comments, and Plans for Next Steps
Date	Observer Initials	Target Skill/Behavior, Comments, and Plans for Next Steps
Date	Observer Initials	Target Skill/Behavior, Comments, and Plans for Next Steps
Date	Observer Initials	Target Skill/Behavior, Comments, and Plans for Next Steps

Date	Observer Initials	Target Skill/Behavior, Comments, and Plans for Next Steps
Date	Observer Initials	Target Skill/Behavior, Comments, and Plans for Next Steps
Date	Observer Initials	Target Skill/Behavior, Comments, and Plans for Next Steps
Date	Observer Initials	Target Skill/Behavior, Comments, and Plans for Next Steps