Module: Response Interruption/Redirection (RIR)

Evidence-Based Practice Brief: Response Interruption/Redirection (RIR)

This evidence-based practice brief on response interruption/redirection (RIR) includes the following components:

1. Overview, which gives a quick summary of salient features of the practice, including what it is, who it can be used with, what skills it has been used with, settings for instruction, and additional literature documenting its use in practice
2. Steps for Implementation, detailing how to implement the practice in a practitioner-friendly step-by-step process
3. Implementation Checklist, to be used to monitor fidelity of the use of the practice
4. Evidence Base Summary, which details the NPDC-ASD criteria for inclusion as an evidence-based practice and the specific studies that met the criteria for this practice
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Overview of Response Interruption/Redirection (RIR)


Response interruption/redirection (RIR) is an evidence-based practice used to decrease interfering behaviors, predominantly those that are repetitive, stereotypical, and/or self-injurious. RIR often is implemented after a functional behavior assessment (FBA) has been conducted to identify the function of the interfering behavior. RIR is particularly useful with persistent interfering behaviors that occur in the absence of other people, in a number of different settings, and during a variety of tasks. These behaviors often are not maintained by attention or escape. Instead, they are more likely maintained by sensory reinforcement and are often resistant to intervention attempts. RIR is particularly effective with sensory-maintained behaviors because teachers/practitioners interrupt learners from engaging in interfering behaviors and redirect them to more appropriate, alternative behaviors.

Evidence

Response interruption/redirection meets evidence-based criteria with five single-subject design studies across the preschool, elementary, and middle/high school age range. It has been shown to be effective in promoting cognitive and behavioral goals.

With what ages is response interruption/redirection effective?

According to the evidence-based studies, learners with ASD ranged in age from 3 to 21 years with the majority of studies showing the effectiveness of RIR with elementary-, middle school-, and high school-aged learners.

What skills or intervention goals can be addressed by response interruption/ redirection?

RIR is most often used with learners with ASD who exhibit severe interfering behaviors, particularly those that are repetitive and stereotypical in nature. The studies in the evidence base targeted off-task behavior as well as sustained engagement in vocal stereotypy and repetitive behaviors. Prompting and reinforcement also were used by researchers to teach more appropriate behaviors to take the place of the interfering behaviors displayed by learners with ASD.

In what settings can response interruption/redirection be effectively used?

The evidence-based studies were conducted mainly in clinic-based settings or in one-to-one teaching sessions with learners with ASD. Although the research did not demonstrate the use of RIR in more naturalistic settings (e.g., during ongoing classroom routines and activities, in the home, in community-based settings), it might be effectively used in these settings as well.
Module: Response Interruption/Redirection (RIR)

Evidence Base

The studies cited in this section document that this practice meets the NPDC on ASD’s criteria for an evidence-based practice. This list is not exhaustive; other quality studies may exist that were not included.

Preschoolers


Elementary, Middle, and High School Age


Selected Additional References
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Evidence Base for Response Interruption/Redirection

The National Professional Development Center on ASD has adopted the following definition of evidence-based practices.

To be considered an evidence-based practice for individuals with ASD, efficacy must be established through peer-reviewed research in scientific journals using:

- *randomized or quasi-experimental design studies*. Two high quality experimental or quasi-experimental group design studies,
- *single-subject design studies*. Three different investigators or research groups must have conducted five high quality single subject design studies, or
- *combination of evidence*. One high quality randomized or quasi-experimental group design study and three high quality single subject design studies conducted by at least three different investigators or research groups (across the group and single subject design studies).

High quality randomized or quasi experimental design studies do not have critical design flaws that create confounds to the studies, and design features allow readers/consumers to rule out competing hypotheses for study findings. High quality in single subject design studies is reflected by a) the absence of critical design flaws that create confounds and b) the demonstration of experimental control at least three times in each study.

This definition and criteria are based on the following sources:


Using these criteria, the empirical studies referenced below provide documentation for supporting response interruption/redirection as an evidence-based practice. This list is not exhaustive; other quality studies may exist that were not included.
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Preschool


Elementary and Middle School Age
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**Steps for Implementation: Response Interruption/Redirection**


Response interruption/redirection (RIR) is an evidence-based practice used to decrease interfering behaviors, predominantly those that are repetitive, stereotypical, and self-injurious in nature. RIR is particularly useful with persistent interfering behaviors that occur in the absence of other people, in a number of different settings, and during a variety of tasks. These behaviors often are not maintained by attention or escape. Rather, they are more likely to be maintained by sensory reinforcement and are often resistant to intervention attempts (Fellner, Laroche, & Sulzer-Azaroff, 1984). RIR is particularly effective with sensory-maintained behaviors because teachers/practitioners interrupt learners from engaging in interfering behaviors and redirect them to more appropriate, alternative behaviors.

RIR contains two main components: (1) response interruption and (2) redirection. During the response interruption component of the intervention, teachers/practitioners stop the learner from engaging in the interfering behavior. This is usually accomplished by physically and/or verbally blocking a learner's attempts to engage in a stereotypical or repetitive behavior (e.g., teacher puts her hand at a short distance from the learner's mouth when he tries to engage in hand mouthing). Redirection, the second component of the intervention, focuses on prompting the learner to engage in a more appropriate, alternative behavior. Both of these components will be described further in this document along with the additional steps needed to use RIR effectively.

**Step 1. Identifying the Interfering Behavior**

In Step 1, teachers/practitioners identify an interfering behavior for a learner with ASD that they would like to decrease. In most cases, the interfering behavior is one that is interfering with learning and development (i.e., vocal stereotypy, pica, hand mouthing). Therefore, teachers/practitioners complete a high quality functional behavioral assessment (FBA) to identify the function of the interfering behavior and select an appropriate replacement behavior that can be taught as part of the redirection component of the intervention. Please refer to *Functional Behavioral Assessment: Steps for Implementation* (National Professional Development Center on ASD, 2008) to acquire more in-depth information about the following FBA strategies.

1. Teachers/practitioners identify the characteristics of the interfering behavior by using direct observation methods that generally include:

   a. **A-B-C data charts.** A-B-C data charts help team members determine what happens right before the behavior (the antecedent), the behavior that occurs, and what happens directly after the behavior (the consequence). These data provide insight into why the learner may be engaging in a particular behavior.

   b. **Scatterplots.** Scatterplots help team members determine:
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- the possible functions of the behavior,
- when the behavior is occurring, and
- times of the day when an intervention might be implemented to reduce the interfering behavior.

2. Teachers/practitioners use direct assessment results to identify:

   a. where the behavior is happening;
   b. with whom the behavior is occurring;
   c. when the behavior is happening;
   d. activities during which the behavior occurs;
   e. what other students are doing when the behavior starts;
   f. what teachers/adults are doing when the behavior starts;
   g. proximity of other students, teachers, and/or adults;
   h. the noise level in the environment;
   i. the number of individuals in the area;
   j. other environmental conditions (e.g., lighting, door open/closed); and
   k. the function of the behavior (i.e., *to get or obtain something*—obtaining internal stimulation, wanting something because it feels good, obtaining attention, obtaining activities or objects; or *to escape or avoid*—obtaining internal stimulation, not wanting something because it feels bad, escaping or avoiding attention, avoiding tasks or activities).

3. Teachers/practitioners develop a **hypothesis statement** for the interfering behavior that includes:

   a. the setting events (i.e., the environment or conditions in which the behavior occurs), immediate antecedents, and immediate consequences that surround the interfering behavior;
   b. a restatement and refinement of the description of the interfering behavior that is occurring; and
   c. the function the behavior serves (i.e., get/obtain, escape/avoid).

**EXAMPLE:** Michael repeats what is said to him and uses repetitive language to avoid being asked questions to which he does not know the answer.

**EXAMPLE:** Jenna wanders around in the dramatic play area during free play, picks dirt off the floor, and ingests it.

4. Teachers/practitioners identify a more appropriate, alternative behavior to take the place of the interfering behavior.

When identifying an alternative behavior, especially those that are maintained by **sensory reinforcement**, it is important to identify a behavior that provides the same sensory reinforcement to the learner with ASD, but in a more appropriate way. The following table
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provides examples of alternative behaviors that could be used to replace interfering behaviors using RIR.

Table 1. Alternative Behaviors to Replace Interfering Behaviors Using RIR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interfering Behavior</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Possible Alternative Behaviors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor stereotypy</td>
<td>Movement of body parts that has no apparent function and movement that is not directed toward another individual (e.g., hand flapping, hand mouthing, putting fingers in ears, fanning/spreading fingers, positioning hands in front of face)</td>
<td>• Redirecting to put body parts somewhere other than mouth (e.g., on table, on lap).&lt;br&gt;• Handing preferred toys(objects to learners one at a time&lt;br&gt;• Providing an object to hold and/or play with (e.g., squishy ball, play dough)&lt;br&gt;• Teaching learner to put hands together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocal stereotypy</td>
<td>Vocalizations that have no apparent function and are not directed toward another individual (e.g., echolalia, non-contextual laughing/giggling, non-contextual words/phrases, non-recognizable words)</td>
<td>• Teaching learner to say, “I don’t know” in response to a question&lt;br&gt;• Teaching learners to use more appropriate language when they engage in vocal stereotypy (e.g., rather than giggling/laughing during social interventions, teach the learner to say, “Hello” to peers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-injury</td>
<td>Any aggressive behavior that is directed towards oneself (e.g., hitting, scratching, biting)</td>
<td>• Providing preferred toys and/or objects&lt;br&gt;• Having learner engage in heavy work (e.g., pulling wagons, heavy lifting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pica</td>
<td>Ingesting non-food items such as pencils, paint chips, dirt</td>
<td>• Providing a food item to eat (e.g., popcorn, raisins)&lt;br&gt;• Having learner chew gum, on a rubber tube, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echolalia</td>
<td>Repeating words, phrases, or vocalizations</td>
<td>• Teaching learner to say, “I don’t know” in response to a question&lt;br&gt;• Teaching learners to use more appropriate language when they engage in vocal stereotypy (e.g., rather than giggling/laughing during social interventions, teach the learner to say, “Hello” to peers)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Step 2. Collecting Baseline Data**

Once the target interfering behavior is identified, teachers/practitioners collect **baseline data** to determine how often the learner is currently engaging in the interfering behavior. Data also should be collected to evaluate how often the learner is currently using the identified alternative behavior.

1. Teachers/practitioners measure a learner’s engagement in the interfering behavior before implementing RIR by collecting the following:

   a. **Frequency data.** **Frequency data** measure how often a learner engages in a particular behavior. **Event sampling,** a method for data on behaviors that occur infrequently, is used to record every instance of the interfering behavior. Data are then used to identify a potential pattern of a learner’s behavior over a period of days or weeks. Table 2 provides an example event sampling data collection sheet. A blank data sheet can be found in the Resources section of the module.

   Table 2. Example Event Sampling Data Collection Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Hand flapping</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Before, during, or after RIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free play</td>
<td>7/26/08</td>
<td>XXXXXXXXX</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside</td>
<td>7/27/08</td>
<td>XXXXXXXXX</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>7/28/08</td>
<td>XXXXXXXXX</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside</td>
<td>7/29/08</td>
<td>XXXXXXXXX</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centers</td>
<td>7/30/08</td>
<td>XXXXXXX</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>During</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indep. Work</td>
<td>7/31/08</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>During</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>8/01/08</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>During</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   b. **Interval data.** **Interval data** are collected when a behavior occurs very frequently. With this type of system, teachers/practitioners record whether the interfering behavior occurs at specific time intervals (e.g., every 30 seconds). Table 3 provides an example of an interval data collection sheet. A blank data sheet can be found in the Resources section of the module.
Table 3. Example Interval Data Collection Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Hand Flapping (every 30 seconds)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Before, during, or after RIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/27/08</td>
<td>x x x x x x x x x x x x</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/28/08</td>
<td>x x x x x x x x x x x x</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/29/08</td>
<td>x x x x x x x x x x x x</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30/08</td>
<td>x x x x x x x x x x x x</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>During</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/31/08</td>
<td>x x x x x x x x x x x x</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>During</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/01/08</td>
<td>x x x x x x x x x x x x</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>During</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Example Duration Data Collection Sheet for Hand Mouthing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Start Time</th>
<th>End Time</th>
<th>Total minutes</th>
<th>Before, during, or after RIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/26/08</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>9:15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/27/08</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>9:05</td>
<td>9:20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/28/08</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>9:10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/29/08</td>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>11:15</td>
<td>11:27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30/08</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>9:10</td>
<td>9:14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>During</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/31/08</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>10:15</td>
<td>10:20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>During</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/01/08</td>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>11:05</td>
<td>11:10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>During</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline data give teachers/practitioners a starting point from which they can evaluate whether the interfering behavior decreases as a result of RIR.

2. Teachers/practitioners collect baseline data for a minimum of four days before implementing RIR.

3. Teachers/practitioners collect baseline data in numerous settings and/or activities for four days in each setting/activity.

It often is useful to have more than one practitioner collect baseline data over the course of several days to compare findings. Also, by collecting data in multiple settings, teachers/practitioners can potentially recognize patterns of behavior. For example, does the learner engage in the interfering behavior more often in one setting than another? This kind of
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Information helps teachers/practitioners identify activities or settings where RIR can be used to decrease the interfering behavior.

Step 3. Implementing RIR

In Step 3, teachers/practitioners implement the response interruption and redirection components of the intervention.

1. Teachers/practitioners praise learner’s independent use of appropriate skills.

EXAMPLE: A learner with ASD who engages in frequent hand flapping during small group activities is observed putting his hands together rather than engaging in the interfering behavior. The learner’s teacher gives him a sticker each time he uses the alternative behavior rather than flapping his hands during the activity.

2. When a learner begins to exhibit an interfering behavior, teachers/practitioners interrupt the learner’s attempts by using:

   a. physical blocking. With this approach, teachers/practitioners physically prevent the learner from engaging in a motor stereotypy. Teachers/practitioners should use the least amount of physical assistance necessary to stop the learner from engaging in the interfering behavior. Often, only one to two seconds of physical contact is needed to stop a learner from using the behavior.

   EXAMPLE: A teacher places her hand about an inch from a learner’s mouth when he attempts to put his hand in his mouth

   EXAMPLE: A teacher puts his hand on a learner’s when she begins flapping her hands.

   b. verbal blocking. With this approach, teachers/practitioners prevent the learner from engaging in the interfering behavior by issuing a verbal directive.

   EXAMPLE: A teacher says “No, don’t” when a learner attempts to put her hand in her mouth.

3. For learners who engage in vocal stereotypies, teachers/practitioners redirect learners to use an identified alternative behavior by:

   a. saying the learner’s name in a neutral tone of voice,
   b. establishing eye contact with the learner, and
   c. asking a social question to prompt the learner to use an alternative vocalization.

   EXAMPLE: A learner begins squealing during one-to-one work time. The teacher asks the learner, “Where do you live?” or “What color is your shirt?”

4. For learners who engage in motor stereotypies or self-injurious behaviors, teachers/practitioners redirect them to engage in an identified alternative behavior by:
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a. saying the learner’s name in a neutral tone of voice,
b. establishing eye contact with the learner, and
c. using the system of least-to-most prompts to help the learner engage in the alternative behavior.

With this strategy, teachers/practitioners gradually provide increasing assistance to help a learner use an alternative behavior. The most intrusive level of prompt ensures that learners with ASD use the target skill successfully. Please refer to Least-to-Most Prompting: Steps for Implementation (National Professional Development Center on ASD, 2008) for more information about least-to-most prompting.

5. For learners who engage in pica (i.e., eating non-edible items), teachers/practitioners redirect them to engage in an identified alternative behavior by:

a. making a preferred food item (e.g., popcorn, goldfish crackers) freely available during times when pica is most prevalent,
b. saying the learner’s name in a neutral tone of voice,
c. establishing eye contact with the learner, and
d. using the system of least-to-most prompts to help the learner engage in the alternative behavior.

EXAMPLE: A teacher places a bowl of goldfish crackers on a table in the dramatic play area during free play. When the learner with ASD attempts to pick up a piece of dirt off the floor and put it in her mouth, the teacher, says, “Molly, don’t,” and puts her hand in front of the learner’s mouth to stop her from ingesting it. The teacher waits until eye contact has been established and then points to the bowl of goldfish on the table. When the learner with ASD tries to pick up another piece of dirt and put it in her mouth, the teacher gently guides her to the table and says, “Goldfish.”

6. After redirecting the learner to the alternative behavior, teachers/practitioners require the learner to engage in the alternative behavior for a specified period of time.

Teachers/practitioners initially require the learner to use the alternative behavior for a minimal amount of time (e.g., 2 to 3 seconds). As learners begin to use the alternative behavior more often than the interfering behavior, teachers/practitioners increase the amount of time required of the learner to engage in the alternative behavior (e.g., 2 minutes, 10 minutes) before providing reinforcement.

7. Teachers/practitioners reinforce the learner’s use of the alternative behavior.

Teachers/practitioners immediately provide reinforcement after the learner with ASD engages in the alternative behavior for the specified amount of time. The goal of reinforcement is to increase the likelihood that the learner with ASD will use the target skill again in the future. Therefore, selected reinforcers should be highly motivating to the learner with ASD. As learners begin to use the alternative behavior independently, reinforcement is gradually faded to...
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allow for generalization and maintenance. Please refer to Positive reinforcement: Steps for Implementation (National Professional Development Center on ASD, 2008) for more information about reinforcement.

Step 4. Monitoring Learner Progress

1. Teachers/practitioners use progress monitoring data to evaluate whether the interfering behavior is decreasing as result of the intervention.

2. Teachers/practitioners use progress monitoring data to evaluate the learner’s use of the alternative behavior in settings/activities where the interfering behavior typically occurs.

The same data collection sheets that were used to collect baseline data can be used to track learner progress.

3. Teachers/practitioners use progress monitoring data to adjust intervention strategies if the interfering behavior is not decreasing.

If the interfering behavior is not decreasing, teachers/practitioners must identify potential reasons for this. The following questions may be helpful during this problem-solving process.

- Is the interfering behavior well defined? That is, is it observable and measurable?
- Is RIR being implemented consistently by all staff?
- Does the alternative behavior provide the same sensory reinforcement as the interfering behavior?

References
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Implementation Checklist for Response Interruption/Redirection


Instructions: The Implementation Checklist includes each step in the process of implementing response interruption/redirection. Please complete all of the requested information including the site and state, individual being observed/interviewed, and the learner’s initials. To assure that a practice is being implemented as intended, an observation is always preferable. This may not always be possible. Thus, items may be scored based on observations with the implementer, discussions and/or record review as appropriate. Within the table, record a 2 (implemented), 1 (partially implemented), 0 (did not implement), or NA (not applicable) next to each step observed to indicate to what extent the step was implemented/addressed during your observation. Use the last page of the checklist to record the target skill, your comments, whether others were present, and plans for next steps for each observation.

Site: ____________________________________ State: _________________________________

Individual(s) Observed: ________________________ Learner’s Initials: ________________________

**Skills below can be implemented by a practitioner, parent, or other team member**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer’s Initials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Planning (Steps 1 – 2)**

Step 1. Identifying the Interfering Behavior

1. Identify the characteristics of the interfering behavior by using direct observation methods that include:

   a. A-B-C data charts.

   b. scatterplots.

2. Use direct assessment results to identify:

   a. where the behavior is happening;

   b. with whom the behavior is occurring;

   c. when the behavior is happening;

**Scoring Key: 2 = implemented; 1 = partially implemented; 0 = did not implement; NA = not applicable**
### Module: Response Interruption/Redirection (RIR)

**Step 1. Identifying the Interfering Behavior (cont.)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score**</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d. activities during which the behavior occurs;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. what other students are doing when the behavior starts;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. what teachers/adults are doing when the behavior starts;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. proximity to other students, teachers, and/or adults;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. the noise level in the environment;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. the number of individuals in the area;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. other environmental conditions; and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. the function of the behavior.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 3. Develop a hypothesis statement for the interfering behavior that includes:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score**</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. the setting events,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. a restatement and refinement of the description of the interfering behavior, and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. the function the behavior serves.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 4. Identify a more appropriate, alternative behavior to take the place of the interfering behavior.**

**Scoring Key:** 2 = implemented; 1 = partially implemented; 0 = did not implement; NA = not applicable
## Module: Response Interruption/Redirection (RIR)

### Step 2. Collecting Baseline Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer's Initials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Score**

1. Measure a learners’ engagement in the interfering behavior before implementing RIR by collecting the following:

   a. frequency data,
   b. interval data, and
   c. duration data.

2. Collect baseline data for a minimum of four days before implementing RIR.

3. Collect baseline data in each setting/activity for four days.

### Intervention (Step 3)

**Step 3. Implementing RIR**

1. Praise the learner’s independent use of appropriate skills.

2. When a learner begins to exhibit an interfering behavior, interrupt the learner’s attempts by using:

   a. physical blocking, and
   b. verbal blocking.

3. For learners who engage in vocal stereotypies, redirect learners to use an identified alternative behavior by:

   a. saying the learner’s name in a neutral tone of voice,
   b. establishing eye contact, and

---

**Scoring Key:** 2 = implemented; 1 = partially implemented; 0 = did not implement; NA = not applicable
**Module: Response Interruption/Redirection (RIR)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 3. Implementing RIR (cont.)</th>
<th>Score**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c. asking a social question to prompt the learner to use an alternative vocalization.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. *For learners who engage in motor stereotypies or self-injurious behavior, redirect them to engage in an identified alternative behavior by:*

   a. saying the learner’s name in a neutral tone of voice, |
   b. establishing eye contact with the learner with ASD, and |
   c. using the system of least-to-most prompts to help the learner engage in the alternative behavior. |

5. *For learners who engage in pica, redirect them to engage in an identified alternative behavior by:*

   a. making a preferred food item freely available during times when pica is prevalent, |
   b. saying the learner’s name in a neutral tone of voice, |
   c. establishing eye contact with the learner with ASD, and |
   d. using the system of least-to-most prompts to help the learner engage in the alternative behavior. |

**Scoring Key:** 2 = implemented; 1 = partially implemented; 0 = did not implement; NA = not applicable
### Module: Response Interruption/Redirection (RIR)

#### Step 3. Implementing RIR (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer’s Initials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Score**

6. After redirecting the learner with ASD to the alternative behavior, require the learner to engage in the alternative behavior for a specified period of time.

7. Reinforce the learner’s use of the alternative behavior.

#### Progress Monitoring (Step 4)

#### Step 4. Monitoring Learner Progress

1. Use progress monitoring data to evaluate whether the interfering behavior is decreasing as a result of the intervention.

2. Use progress monitoring data to evaluate the learner’s use of the alternative behavior in settings/activities where the interfering behavior typically occurs.

3. Use progress monitoring data to adjust intervention strategies if the interfering behavior is not decreasing.

**Scoring Key:** 2 = implemented; 1 = partially implemented; 0 = did not implement; NA = not applicable
**Module:** Response Interruption/Redirection (RIR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Observer Initials</th>
<th>Target Skill/Behavior, Comments, and Plans for Next Steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Module:** Response Interruption/Redirection (RIR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Observer Initials</th>
<th>Target Skill/Behavior, Comments, and Plans for Next Steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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